SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE AS CONFORMATIONALLY-RESTRICTED ANALOGUES OF THE PEPTIDO-LEUKOTRIENES

J.P. VIDAL, R. ESCALE. G. NIEL, E. RECHENCQ, J.P GlRARD and J.C ROSSI.

Laboratoire de Chimie des Médiateurs et Physicochimie des interactions biologiques associé au C.N.R.S., Université de Montpellier I, Faculté de Pharmacie, 15 Avenue Charles Flahault, F-34060 MONTPELLlER, FRANCE.

Abstract : A new class of potential leukotriene analogues is synthesized which atttempts to restrict the conformationally mobile lipophilic chain. Biological evaluation shows weak agonist activity, giving key information on $LTD₄$ geometry to the receptor.

The peptido-leukotrienes LTC_4 , LTD_4 and LTE_4 are potent contractile agents on airway smooth muscle and may contribute to the pathophysiology of asthma and other immediate hypersensitivity diseases $1-4$. Thus, the discovery of selective leukotriene receptor antagonists may provide a new therapeutic approach to the treatment of allergic asthma. Numerous stereochemical and structural analogues of leukotrienes LTC_4 and LTD_4 have been synthesized previously and evaluated for agonist-antagonist potency $5-7$. Structure-activity studies on the natural agonists suggested that the hydrophobic region C-7/C-20 of the molecules was less critical for activity on the smooth muscle .

A large degree of flexibility for the lipophilic chain seemed to be tolerated ⁸. However, in opposition to previous studies, we have shown, guided by the structure of the natural leukotrienes, that the 11,12 portion in the triene structure was critical for a leukotriene-like **activity** 9. To define the structural requirements in this region on agonist and antagonist activity, we decided to prepare a model which restricts the conformationally mobile lipophilic chain.

In this Letter, we report the synthesis of the new cyclohexane analogue 2 of the natural $LTD₄$ 1 in order to evaluate the full effects of this new triene system on biological activity. Our analogue contains the normal peptide portion $LTD₄$ and also has the natural (5S, 6R) stereochemistry.

Our synthesis of the required precursor δ corresponding to C-10/C-20 (Scheme 1) starts with 4-pentyl cyclohexanone¹⁰ $\frac{4}{3}$, conveniently obtained from 1,4 cyclohexadione monoethyleneketal $\frac{3}{3}$ The ketone $\mathbf{\underline{4}}$ is transformed into 4-pentyl-cyclohexylidenylmethyl-triphenyl-phosphonium bromide 5 in 69 % overall yield by Wittig-Homer reaction 11, diisobutyl-aluminium hydride (DIBAH) reduction ¹² and treatment by CBr $_4$ / PPh₃¹³.

Scheme 1 - a: LAH (100%y.); b: PTSCl, Py. (88%y.); c: NaI, Acetone; d: AcOH, H 2O (68%y). c and d); e : Ethylene glycol, Toluene, PTS acid monohydrate (85%) ; f : (C_5H_{11}) 2 Cu (CN) Li₂, THF, -78°, (79%y.); g: AcOH, H 2O (88%y.); h: 1 eq. (C2H5O)2P(O)CH2CO2Et, 1eq. NaH (95%y.); i: 2 eq. Dibal-H. Toluene, 25°c (93%y.); j: 1.3 eq. CBr4, 1.3eq PPh3, 0°C; k: 1.5 eq. PPh3, CH3CN, 82°, 48h (78%y. j and k); 1: 1.05 eq. nBuLi, 15 eq. HMPA, anh. THF, -78° (30%y.); m: 3 eq. L-Cysteinylglycine, MeOH-H₂O-EtaN 7-1-1. 4h; n: 10eq. KOH, MeOH-H₂O 1-6.

Z-Selective Wittig condensation of the ylide 5 with the readily available pure 7E-(5S,6S)-epoxyenal $\mathbf{\underline{6}}$ (¹H-NMR, 360 MHz, J_{7,8} = 14.5), a key intermediate in the original stereocontrolled synthesis¹⁴ of leukotriene LTA₄, affords $\frac{7a}{2}$ (90 %) and its 7, 8 cis isomer $\frac{7b}{2}$ (10 %), 30 % yield after HPLC purification¹⁵. The geometry of the characteristic triene is confirmed by 360 MHz ¹H NMR ¹⁵. The isomer $\frac{7b}{2}$ could be obtained as a result of isomerization

of the 7,8-double bound during the Wittig reaction and non-selective reaction with epoxyenal 6. Several key factors¹⁶ could affect the final stereochemistry of the reaction between the unsaturated aldehyde 6 and the semistabilized allylic ylide 5 . To our knowledge, this is the first example observed in leukotriene synthesis. We checked, on the one hand, that the **A 7,8** isomerization of epoxyenal 6 was unsuccessful in the Wittig conditions (nBuLi, THF) and that, on the other hand, the 7E, 9Z isomer $7a$ did not undergo partial isomerization to 7Z, 9Z isomer $7b$ during isolation.

Quantitative S_N 2 ring-opening of \overline{a} by L-cysteinylglycine (3 equi.) in methanol, water, triethylamine (7.1.1) yields a 1:1 mixture of the sulfido esters $8a$ and $8b$ (48% yield). Noteworthy is the fact that S_N1 addition of the solvent to C-12 of \overline{a} competes with epoxide ring-opening and affords the new (5S)-hydroxy -12-methoxy LTB₄ analogue 9 in 52% yield; this possesses an all trans-geometry in the conjugated triene unit 17 as insured by its mode of formation 18 ; the products were purified by HPLC and the stereochemistry was assigned by $1H$ NMR 17 . Hydrolysis of \mathbf{g}_2 with potassium hydroxide in methanol / water provides the new analogue 2 as its di-K salt in essentially quantitative yield . In binding studies using radiolabeled **LTD4 and** a guinea pig lung membrane preparation 19, compound 2 has an affinity two orders of magnitude lower than LTD₄ for the LTD₄ receptor (7 x 10⁻⁷ M compared to 2x 10⁻⁹ M).

This result agrees with the contractile agonist activity ($EC_{50} = 2x 10^{-7}M$). Unfortunately, this analogue fails to antagonize the effects of $LTD₄$ in the guinea pig ileum smooth muscle contraction assay 20 . Introduction of the 12.15- annelation in the lipophilic region of 1 does not reverse its activity from leukotriene agonism to antagonism but causes an important reduction in intrinsic activity. Clearly, these results, in a model which restricts the conformationally mobile hydrophobic moiety, suggest that the stereochemical requirements of the ω portion of the leukotriene for the interactions with the LTD_A binding site are strictly defined. Additional results concerning the pharmacological profile for these analogues will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by grants from the Groupement scientifique pour l'application des leucotrienes aux m&licaments. Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.We are grateful to Professor C.Bonne and Dr. A.Muller, Laboratoire de Physiologie de l'Université de Montpellier I, for leukotriene analogues binding experiments. Helpful discussions with Dr J.Rokach are also gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. R.A . Lewis,, K.F. Austen, J.M. Drazen, D.A. Clark, A. Marfat and E.J. Corey, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 1980,77.3710.
- 2. S.E.Dahlen,, G. Hansson, P. Hedquist, T. Bjorck, E. Granstrom and B. Dahlen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 1980,77,1712.
- 3. S.E.Dahlen, P. Hedquist, S. Hammarström and B. Samuelsson, Nature, 1980, 288, 484.
- 4. M.J.Peck, P.G. Piper and T.J. Williams, Prostaglandins, 1981, 21, 315.
- 5. J.M.Drazen,, R.A. Lewis, K.F. Austen, F. Brion, A. Marfat and E. Corey, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 1981,78,3195.
- 6. R.A.Lewis,, J.M. Drazen, K.F. Austen. M. Toda, F. Brion, A. Marfat and E. Corey, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 1981,78,4579.
- S.Okuyama,, S. Miyamoto, K. Shimosji, Y. Konishi, D. Fukushima, H. Niwa, Y. Arai, 7. M.Toda and M. Hayaski, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1982,30,2453.
- J.W.Gillard and Y.Guidon, Drugs of the Future, 1987,12,453. 8.
- 9. J.P.Lellouche, F.Aubert, J.P.Beaucourt, E.Rechenq, G.Niel, J.P.Girard and J.C.Rossi, Prostaglandins, 1989,37,93.
- G.L.Lemiere, T.A.Van Osselaer and F.C.Aldetweireldt, Bull.Soc.Chim.Belg., 1978.87.771. 10.
- M.W.Rathke and M.NowaK, J.Org.Chem., 1985, 50, 2624. 11.
- 12. R.J. Sundberg and F.O.Holcombe, J.Org.Chem.,1969,34, 327
- 13. S.Kim and K.H.Ahn, J.Grg.Chem, 1984,49,1717 . E.J.Corey and JohnE.Munroe, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 1982,104,1752.
- 14. E.J.Corey, D.A.Clark, G.Goto, A.Marfat, CMioskowski, B.Samuelsson and S.Hammarströn, J.Amer.Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 1436.
- 15. Physical data for $\underline{7a}$ and $\underline{7b}$: HPLC: Waters μ Porasil (8 mm x 300mm)Hexane-AcOEt-Et₃N 99-1-1, 2 ml / min flow rate, Rt = 17 min $\frac{7a}{2}$ and 20 min $\frac{7b}{2}$, monitored at 280 nm. 1H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) $\underline{7a}$: 8 0.87 (t, 3H), 1.26 (m,8H), 1.81 (m, 2H, H₃), 2.13 (m), 2.27 (m), 2.37 (t, 2H, H₂), 2.85 (m, H₅), 3.16 (q, H₆, J_{6,7} = 7.81 Hz), 3.66 (s, 3H), 5.37 (q, H₇, J_{7,8}= 15.13Hz), 5.88 (t, H₉, J_{9,10} = 11 Hz), 6.05 to 6.42 (m, 2H, H₁₀) and H₁₁), 6.89 (q, H₈, J_{8,9} = 11.24 Hz). MS (EI) m/z : 360, 342, 329, 231, 147, 129 (100%) , 101 , $7**b**$: δ 0.87 (t,3H), 1.26 (m,8H), 1.81 (m, 2H, H₃), 2.13 (m), 2.27 (m), 2.37 $(t, 2H, H_2)$, 2.85 (m, H₅), 3.50 (d, H₆, J_{6,7} = 8.3 Hz), 3.66 (s, 3H), 5.06 (t, H₇ J_{7,8} = 11.23 Hz), 6.05 to 6.42 (m, 2H, H₁₀ and H₁₁), 6.72 (t, H₈, J_{8,9} = 11.23Hz_, 6.06 (q, H9, $J_{9,10} = 11$ Hz); MS (EI)m/z: 360, 342, 329, 231, 147, 129 (100%), 101.
- 16. R.N.Gedye, K.C.Westaway PArora, R.Bisson and A.H.Khalil, Can.J.Chem., 1977,55, 1218 and references cited therein ; R. Ideses and A.Shani, Tetrahedron, 1989,45,3523.
- 17. Physical data for $\underline{8a}$ and $\underline{8b}$: RP-HPLC : Merck Lichrosorb RP18 (4mm x 250mm), MeOH-H₂O 85-15, pH 5.6, 0.450 ml / min flow rate, Rt = 18.5 min $\frac{8a}{2a}$ and 20 min $\frac{8b}{2a}$, monitored at 270 nm. UV (MeOH-H₂O 85-15) : λ max = 276 nm. 1H-NMR (360 MHz, CD₃OD): **8a** δ 0.92 (t, 3H), 1.20 to 1.40 (m, 8H), 1.53 (m, 2H, H_d), 1.83 (m, 2H, Hg), 1.90 (m), 2.37 (t, 2H, H2), 2.79 (m, HI), 2.84 (m), 2.94 (m, H-I), 3.44 (m, H₆), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.73 (m, H₅), 3.78 (m, 1H), 3.92 (m, 2H), 5.61 (q, H₇ J _{7,8} = 15.0 Hz), 5.85 (d, H₁₁), 6.17 (q, H₉ J_{9.10} =14,7 Hz), 6.57(q, H₁₀), 6.30 (q, H₈).MS (FAB positif, NBA) m/z 539, 361, 343; **8h** 8 0.92 (t, 3H), 1.20 to 1.40 (m, 8H), 1.53 (m, 2H, H₄), 1.83 (m, 2H,H₃), 1.90 (m), 2.37 (t, 2H, H₂), 2.79 (m, 1H), 2.84 (m), 2.94 (m, H-I), 3.46 (m, I&), 3.69 (s, 3I-I). 3.73 (m, Hg), 3.78 (m, HI), 3.92 (m, 2H), 5.66 (q, H7, $J_{7.8} = 16.0$, 5.96 (t, H₉, J _{9,10} = 11.0), 6.26 (m, H₁₀), 6.34 (q, H₁₁), 6.73(q,H₈). MS (FAB positif, NBA) m/z 539,361,343.

 $2: RP-HPLC: Mackerey-Nagel Nucleosil C18 (10 μ – 8mm x 300mm), MeOH-H₂O 85-15,$ pH 5.6, 1.6 ml / min flow rate, $Rt = 36.5$ min, monitored at 270 nm. UV (MeOH-H₂O 85-15) : λ max = 258, 268, 278 nm. ¹H-NMR (360 MHz, CD₃OD) 8 0.95 (t,3H),1.56 (m,2H, H₄), 1.70 (m, 2H, H₃), 2.40 (t, 2 H, H₂), 3.13 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 4.14 (q, H₅ J $_{5.6}$ = 8.3 Hz), 5.74 (q, H₆, J _{6,7} = 13.68 Hz), 5.63 (d, H₁₁, J _{10,11} = 15.14 Hz), 6.22 to 6.35 (m, H₇ H₈) H_9 , H_{10} , J $_{9,10}$ = 9.76 Hz).MS (EI, 30 ev) m/z 125,191, 234, 263, 333 (100%).

- 18. S.Hammarström, R.C.Murphy, B.Sammuelsson, D.A.Clark, C.Mioskowski and E.J.Corey, Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun., 1979, **91**, 1266; R.C.Murphy, S.Hammarstrom and B.Sammuelsson, Pro.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA, 1979, **76**, 4275; E.J.Corey, A.Marfat, G.Goto and F.Brion, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1980, 102,7984.
- 19. S.S.Pong, R.N. de Haven, Jr F.A. Kuehl and R.W.Egan, J. Biol. Chem., 1983,258, 9616; S.S. Pong, and R.N. de Haven, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1983, 80, 7415.
- 20. M.C. Holdroyde and A.M. Ghelani, Eur. J. Pharmacol., 1983, **90**, 251.

(Received in France 26 June 1989)